**FACTOM**

**COMMUNITY**

**MEETING MINUTES**

**Factom Guides**

**Meeting #19-**26

**201**9**-**06-24

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Date and time of meeting** | 2019-06-24, 20:00 UTC |
| **Date minutes drafted** | 2019-06-24 |
| **Date minutes approved** | 2019-07-01 |
| **Organization/Team** | Factom Guides |
| **Attendees** | Factom Inc (Brian Deery), Centis BV (Niels), DBGrow (Nic), The 42nd Factoid AS (Tor), Trgg3r LLC (Nolan) |
| **Members not in attendance** |  |
| **Other attendees** |  |
| **Meeting Leader** | DBGrow (Nic) |
| **Meeting Secretary** | Centis BV (Niels) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject 0** | * **Roll Call** * Approval of minutes from previous meeting on 2019-06-17 |
| **Discussion** |  |
| **Conclusion** | All guides present.  Minutes approved. |
| **Follow up** | Niels will factomize the approved meeting minutes. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject 1** | Announcements/Reminders/Process timeline |
| **Discussion** | * Current ongoing processes: * [Process to ratify nonprofit bylaws](https://factomize.com/forums/threads/vote-to-ratify-nonprofit-bylaws.2068/#post-16420) is complete. * Grant sponsor discussion   + Guides still in discussion in doc 107. Sponsor framework not fully fledged will be in 107.   + Nolan and Nic wrapping up * Working group/committee Restructure   + In progress * [Process discussion / document ratification timeline:](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/15QMJrxErMetSgpzwQUKQ_OjmccqfTNvuImdOLjruvlc/edit?usp=sharing) |
| **Conclusion** |  |
| **Follow up** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Subject 2 | Network Update |
| Discussion | <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1fopTNg7ZnokwybEwC6H7W3vEAmNMkyFd_3xumDj5q0Q/edit#gid=0>  No activation height. Security update and massive performance update.  6x ANOs have not reported yet as fully upgraded. Watching closely to see everything works well. During last release of codebase only last minute problems were noticed.  Things are looking good at this point  PArchment release is coming up next. Still a few bugs being worked out. Coming along well and looking promising. Many more community updates in the release. No timeline yet. Still adding improvements and hope to release to testnet soon.  Tor: Are we going to do performance testing to create a baseline?  Brian: Luap made a performance test on testnet which maxed out at 15 TPS  Tor: Do we also want to perform a performance test on mainnet and slowly increase load?  Brian: Yeah probably. Might want to wait till more nodes are updated. Would instill a lot of confidence |
| | |  |
| Follow up |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Subject 3 | ANO Onboarding |
| Discussion | Nic:   * Kompendium - submission working, ready to continue with Audit * Consensus Networks - having trouble with data center. waiting on form submission |
| Conclusion |  |
| Follow up |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject** 4 | **Follow up: tasks from last meeting / upcoming tasks** |
| **Discussion** | Nic:  (Text from previous meeting begins)  (Text from previous meeting ends   * The Committee Restructuring framework document is almost done. It is planned that after community/SP review, this framework will be incorporated as a part of our governance. Primary topics of interest include not giving a Chairman of a committee any absolute power, and also providing a mechanism/path for removal of a Chairman or committee members. * The Sponsor framework does not necessarily need to be a fully-fledged framework, and is being discussed as possibly being incorporated as a chapter or section in Doc 107 (Factom Grant Process). Right now, the initial idea/recommendation is to have -all- Sponsors paid to incentivize Sponsor reporting, and all reports on any given Grant would be provided by the Sponsor/s.   **To**r:  (Text from previous meeting begins)   * Reviewing previous grants and ask follow up questions to the ones that have not been ticked of as concluded. * ANO server location form.   (Text from previous meeting ends)   * Reviewed all the previous grants and updated the tracking spreadsheet. Asked follow up questions to the grants still unfinished from previous rounds. One or two grants with no response as of yet. * Deployed ANO server location form. So far approximately 50% response rate. * Reviewed Doc 107 draft by David Chapman to align with automated grant process. * Suggested changes to Doc 107 to provide for easier grant tracking   Next week:   * Add suggested grant tracking changes to Doc 107 draft. * Follow up with ANOs to collect server locations * Follow up with remaining grantees for status of grants   Nolan**:**  (Text from previous meeting begins)   * Sponsor Role discussion / facilitation on Factomize   (Text from previous meeting ends)  Next week:   * Follow up with Nic on the Committee Restructuring Working Group * Review Doc 107 / provide inputs * Continue discussion surrounding ANO After Action Report / gather inputs   Brian**:**  **(Text from previous meeting begins)**   * Bond Release * ANO onboarding progression (updated docs)   **(Text from previous meeting ends)**   * Coinbase Cancel verify questions. * Start onboarding of Kompendium   Niels:  (Text from previous meeting begins)   * FIS editors * Blockchain expo representation & presentation * CI with Jenkins provided by BIF   (Text from previous meeting ends)   * Finish CI * Ask FIS editors and determine communication medium * Expo followup * Standing parties expansion * Working on the continued integration - Javascript client working. Opening it up for people to access it soon. Soon have to do an SSL cert for it. Niels has been at the blockchain Expo (mixed feelings here); a lot of people that were there were interesting, and it was together with IOT and data security expo, and it was a lot mixed together. Therefore, it was interesting, but audience was mixed, spoke to interesting people, Niels has some follow up to do for instance with an exchange, so Niels will bring that person in contact with the Exchange Committee. Some marketing people also came up, so Niels will direct 1 of those people to the Marketing Committee. Niels has a professor in Politics? She was interested in our governance and keeping tabs to see what she can do or use some of her students to write something about our governance model. She was interested in the decentralized nature of our community. Niels will be working on or hopefully restart the SP expansion (which is in a working group right now; in a standstill) this has to do with how Circle responded to the latest report from the SEC. Niels hopes to rekindle the discussion in that group. * Niels is working on FIS Specs. He has not asked for new editors yet, but will do so this week. We will need to decide the way and when interoperability specs are discussed. Pull requests wont work. Doing so in Discord alone also wont work, so we will likely use Gthub using tickets. |
| **Conclusion** |  |
| **Follow up (until next meeting)** |  |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject** 5 | **Open floor** |
| **Discussion** | [22:11] [Factomatic] Valentin Ganev: For how long was the load sustained?  Brian: Not sure how long load was sustained  Sam Vanderwaal: I would love to see some mainnet testing of Bond. Obviously, we need everyone upgraded and it needs to be done carefully and slowly, but that would give us all confidence that the mainnet has seen performance improvements and will provide ANOs data on how much usage they can bring to the network.  [22:11] [Factomatic] Valentin Ganev: I second that. We also get to burn some FCTs in the process.  Brian: Being careful about it and having people prepared for the test is important. Not want to do it with not a whole lot of support available  22:14] [Cube3] Tom: Reviewing sponsors will this be a discussion on Factomize before voting?  Nic: Yes absolutely. Will be discussed publicly on Factomize before voting  [22:23] [Factomatic] Valentin Ganev: @[LUCIAP] luap -- do you know how long the load test on testnet was sustained?  [22:25] [Factomatic] Valentin Ganev: I'm like a broken record  Nic: I don’t see Paul in attendance  22:27] [Kompendium] Mitchell: The test went for a few hours, can't speak for how long the heavy load was sustained, I got network traffic notifications for over 2 hours  [22:25] [matter of fact] Perly: For any one of you who attended the Amsterdam Blockchain. What was the overall impression from the crowd at the Blockchain expo ? What was the sense of interest from people you met there that had not heard of Factom before? Also what was your impression on how they react or understand the difference between the Factom Protocol and Factom ?  Mixture of people (CEO’s, CTO’s, technical people), 250 Euros per day for the simplest ticket; Some people paid up to 1K Euro to get in. There was an audience which were interested. All kinds of people. People that were pretty knowledgeable about blockchain. It was one of the first open conferences where Niels had some really nice tech discussions with people. Many people with knowledge about various blockchain Protocols. Many reactions (of those in the know of Factom)...does Factom still exist? Didn’t know… but when we start to explain that so much is happening with so much new tech on top of it, people get it, people appreciate how we handle governance, many business-oriented people appreciated that we aren’t rushing, and aren’t doing everything on-chain. Factom Protocol as different from Factom (several people while Niels was in talks about how the Protocol is decentralized, Inc. created the Protocol). This confused people. The Protocol is named after the company, and people had a hard time understanding that. Outsuders outside of the Protocol find it confusing between Factom Inc. and Factom Protocol. Overall, Niels had nice convos with people; most people like it. Discussions resonate well. Lawyer was interested, too. |
| **Conclusion** |  |
| **Follow up** |  |

Meeting adjourned at 20:34 UTC.